

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/994,741	11/28/2001	Thomas Blattner	A03195	8342	
24131 75	590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER		
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			HENDERSON, MARK T		
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD), FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	.,		3722		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/200	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			X ₁			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/994,741	BLATTNER ET AL.	/			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
	Mark T Henderson	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	9SS			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	l amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>10-20</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		A. L. WELLING SUPERVISORY PATEN TECHNOLOGY CEN				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as stated in the final office action, the term "immediately" is a relative term, wherein in a manufacturing process, one manufacturer may form the "binder" element in a "just-in-time processing (wherein the element is formed in sequence with its demand). Since applicant has not disclosed specific details of what "immediately" is and the time frame, the examiner has given the term its broadest interpretation to mean either a few minutes before or possibly a day prior to the formation of the brochure. Therefore, the examiner's rejections have been maintained.